

Link Prediction on Multilayer Networks through Learning of Within-Layer and Across-Layer Node-Pair Structural Features and Node Embedding Similarity

Lorenzo Zangari Iorenzo.zangari@dimes.unical.it DIMES, University of Calabria, Rende (CS), Italy Domenico Mandaglio d.mandaglio@dimes.unical.it DIMES, University of Calabria, Rende (CS), Italy Andrea Tagarelli andrea.tagarelli@unical.it DIMES, University of Calabria, Rende (CS), Italy

Overview

Motivation

Graph Algorithms and Modelling for the Web

- We define ML-Link, a neural-network based learning framework for link prediction on (attributed) multilayer networks. Its purpose is to estimate the probability of edge existence within an arbitrary set of layers.
- GNN representation learning design limits their ability to capture linkspecific information, resulting in classic heuristics achieving comparable performance in link prediction tasks.
- We focus on augmenting multilayer Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) with node-pair structural features learned from both within- and across-layer information.
- We assess the significance of ML-Link on real-world and synthetic multilayer networks, conducting a comparative evaluation against MAGMA (Coscia et al., 2022), Pujari (Pujari et al., 2015), Jalili (Jalili et al., 2017), Hristova (Hristova et al., 2016), MAA (Aleta et al., 2020), MELL (Matsuno et al., 2018), CrossMNA (Chu et al., 2019), ML-GAT (Zangari et al., 2021), GATNE (Cen et al., 2019), Neo-GNN (Yun et al., 2021) and SEAL (Zhang et al., 2018).
- We aim to inject node-pair-level (multilayer) structural features based on the shared neighborhoods of any two nodes into the learning process.
- Learning link structural information by considering the overlapping neighborhood between any pair of layers enable to generalize singlelayer and multilayer link prediction heuristics.
- Exploiting different overlapping multilayer neighbors enable a holistic view of the multilayer neighborhood:
 - Overlapping across-layer neighborhood (OAN) considers the shared entitiy-neighbors across two layers.
 - Multilayer Adamic-Adar neighborhood (MAAN) considers triadic closure relations across two layers.

ML-Link

ML-Link is an end-to-end framework for link prediction based on two components:

- 1. NN-based node pair neighborhood features extraction (NN-NPN) learns node-pair structural information by leveraging the ISL, ESL and CLA modules.
- **2. GNN-based node embedding (GNN-NE)** learns node representation based on external available node information through a multilayer GNN module.
- ISL captures within-layer topological information between the target nodes.
- **ESL** captures multilayer information between the entities related to the target nodes, through the context-aware vectors $z^{(\tau)}$, where τ is a



type of overlapping multilayer neighborhood (e.g., MAAN).



• **CLA** weights the importance of each type of overlapping multilayer neighborhood through an attention mechanism.

Comparative evalution: ML-Link outperforms 11 competing methods and 6 heuristics (ensemble) in terms of AUC and AP.

Method	Cs-Aarhus	CKM	Elegans	Lazega	DkPol	ArXiv
Add Link	97.208	99.269	99.646	99.557	99.552	99.342
ML-LINK	97.348	99.268	99.645	99.579	99.515	99.470
Ensemble	89.831	73.528	80.322	81.860	92.124	99.171
	89.520	72.906	79.759	80.398	92.423	99.293
MAGMA	85.606	92.341	96.176	82.188	90.749	96.238
	80.619	89.659	<u>96.335</u>	79.036	89.632	96.114
Pujari	83.218	69.225	77.017	64.564	79.241	OOT
	75.559	74.774	76.763	58.747	71.735	OOT
Jalili	80.717	79.730	67.987	59.801	73.408	OOT
	76.270	70.188	65.248	55.223	72.701	OOT
Hristova	79.766	71.803	56.198	55.054	62.586	OOT
	60.176	61.44	54.097	53.626	53.295	OOT
МАА	92.083	85.151	86.025	79.682	90.719	OOT
	<u>91.611</u>	86.692	84.422	78.260	89.438	OOT
MELL	73.641	68.357	82.093	64.262	45.918	OOM
	77.517	77.521	88.644	70.328	48.570	OOM
CrossMNA	78.589	88.317	88.389	74.54	68.371	98.318
	75.457	87.859	87.203	69.68	61.268	98.426
ML-GAT	89.432	88.517	96.307	72.623	85.382	82.635
	88.754	86.751	95.236	69.047	84.015	76.617
GATNE	85.096	90.033	88.389	78.352	75.579	98.914
	84.459	88.445	87.203	75.231	73.317	99.187
Neo-GNN	83.370	89.094	82.793	78.956	81.084	92.176
	82.986	87.591	82.405	78.428	81.983	93.847
SEAL	81.986	83.898	87.979	81.429	95.004	98.823
	82.316	83.651	86.517	80.140	94.684	98.816

Results

Ablation analysis: all architectural components of ML-Link are effective.

Method	Cs-Aarhus	СКМ	CKM Elegans		DkPol
CNINI NIE	89.432	88.517	96.307	72.603	85.382
GININ-INE	88.754	86.751	95.236	69.047	84.015
ISL	84.622	62.450	75.158	78.905	85.924
	84.848	68.512	73.560	77.035	85.699
ISL w/	91.16	89.341	96.253	80.577	90.398
GNN-NE	91.07	88.645	95.377	79.867	92.031
ISL w/	90.410	72.301	82.172	78.294	85.531
ESL ($\Gamma^{(oan)}$)	89.946	76.821	79.523	77.455	82.478
ISL w/	89.175	70.723	79.369	80.527	87.915
ESL ($\Gamma^{(maan)}$)	88.769	75.393	77.826	78.456	86.236
ISI w/ ESI	90.284	73.191	82.693	81.254	87.521
ISE W/ ESE	89.566	77.709	80.294	79.200	86.228
NINL-NIDNI	95.927	98.561	98.828	99.023	98.036
ININ-INI IN	95.481	97.576	<u>98.865</u>	99.104	<u>98.560</u>
MI_Link	97.208	99.269	99.646	99.557	99.552
	97.348	99.268	99.645	99.579	99.515



Efficiency analysis: ML-Link tends to be faster than the strongest competing method on two sets of syntethic networks.

Sensitivity analysis: ML-Link is robust w.r.t. its main hyper-parameter ψ , which weights the importance of ISL vs ESL and CLA modules.

	$\beta = 0.1$			$\beta = 0.5$			
	ML-Link		MAGMA	ML-Link		MAGMA	
$ V_{\mathcal{L}} $	GPU	CPU	CPU	GPU	CPU	CPU	
1500	0.013	0.095	0.027	0.023	0.167	0.043	
3000	0.020	0.403	0.154	0.034	0.718	0.453	
4500	0.030	1.193	0.670	0.059	2.271	1.595	
6000	0.060	3.792	5.173	0.120	7.280	5.636	
7500	0.102	7.938	8.489	0.211	15.589	12.478	
9000	0.179	17.627	20.339	0.397	33.054	29.131	
10500	0.339	31.074	34.781	0.740	60.217	54.932	
12000	0.641	62.395	77.394	1.322	117.877	148.338	
13500	1.366	88.707	127.924	2.659	184.375	235.066	

Scan the QR code to access the ML-Link GitHub repository







