A Combinatorial Multi-Armed Bandit based method for Dynamic Consensus Community Detection in Temporal Networks

> Domenico Mandaglio and Andrea Tagarelli d.mandaglio@dimes.unical.it, andrea.tagarelli@unical.it

> > DIMES, University of Calabria, Italy

29th October 2019

# Community Detection (CD) in Temporal Networks



- **•** need for modeling the change events in the communities and tracking their evolution.
- Challenges: choice of timestep width, different type and occurring rates of community evolution events.
- incremental nature of the problem

#### **Applications**

- enhanced group-recommendation
- **•** user behavior prediction
- evolution of user interaction patterns in relation to real-world events

#### Existing works on CD in Temporal Networks

Existing approaches:

- try to discover a sequence of mappings for the community structures independently derived at each time step
- detect a community structure for the current topology as dependent on the structure(s) from prior time step(s)
- update a community structure in order to reflect newly observed changes
- **•** aggregate the various snapshots of the network in order to enable a static community detection method
- $\bullet$  require to match and/or track the evolution of communities over time
- **•** depend on specific community-change events (merge, split, etc.)
- depend on restricted graph models
- assume the same nodes and number of communities for each snapshot

#### CD in Temporal Networks as Consensus problem

Requirement: balancing over time between the need for embedding long-term changes observed in the community formation and the need for capturing short-term effects and newly observed community structures.

**•** give more importance to the more recent community structures in the consensus generation

#### Dynamic consensus community structure

A community structure that encompasses the knowledge about newly observed as well as the previously detected communities in a temporal network

#### Dynamic consensus community detection problem (DCCD)

**Input**: Given the temporal graph sequence  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq t}$  (undirected and unweighted graphs) and associated set of detected community structures (non-overlapping communities) **Output**: for any time  $1 \le t \le T$ , compute a dynamic consensus community structure  $C_{\leq t}$  such as to maximize:

$$
R(T) = \sum_{t=1}^T Q_t(\mathcal{C}_{\leq t})
$$

where  $Q_t$  is a chosen quality criterion for a community structure, over the history (before t) of the network (e.g. multilayer modularity  $^1$ ).

Mandaglio Domenico and Tagarelli Andrea 2019 12:33 Andrea 29th October 2019 12:34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A. Tagarelli et al. *"Ensemble-based community detection in multilayer networks"*. Data Min. Knowl. Discov. (2017)

**Dynamic co-association matrix** (DCM) **M**: the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of **M**, denoted as  $m_{ii}$ , stores the probability of co-association for entities  $\mathsf{v}_i,\mathsf{v}_j\in\mathsf{V}$  , i.e., the probability that  $\mathsf{v}_i$  and  $\mathsf{v}_j$  are assigned to the same community, in the observed timespan

Computing meaningful co-associations and properly maintaining and updating the consensus community structure over time is challenging:

- avoid (re)computation of the consensus from scratch
- avoid to depend on any mechanism of tracking of the evolution of communities
- **o** density of M

# Reinforcement Learning approach to DCCD problem

Reinforcement Learning:

- interrelated actions with unknown "rewards" ahead of time
- choose which actions to take in order to maximize the reward
- exploitation/exploration trade-off
	- exploitation: make the best decision given current information
	- exploration: gather more information

#### CD in temporal networks

- uncertainty about the temporal network system, and the structural changes and consequent decisions to take about the node memberships and structure of the communities
- balancing between relying on older community structures and newly observed ones

# Multi-Armed Bandit (MAB)

- $\bullet$  A is a set of m slot-machines/arms to choose from
- **e** each arm is associated with a set of random variables  $\{X_i^t \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, t \geq 1\}$ ,  $X_i^t \in [0,1]$
- At each step  $t$  the agent selects/plays an arm  $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$  and the reward  $X_{a_t}^t$  is revealed
- The goal is to maximise the cumulative reward  $R(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_{a_t}^t$
- The goal is pursued through an exploration/exploitation trade-off



# Combinatorial Multi-Armed Bandit (CMAB)<sup>2</sup>

- $\bullet$  At each step t the agent selects a subset of **base arms** (super arm)  $A_t \subseteq A$  and the rewards  $X_{a_{t}}^{t}$  for all  $a_{t} \in A_{t}$  are revealed
- the base arms belonging to  $A_t$  may probabilistically trigger other base arms not in  $A_t$
- The reward of playing  $A_t$ ,  $R(A_t)$ , is a linear/non-linear combination of the rewards of the selected and triggered base arms



• The goal is to maximise the cumulative reward  $R(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} R(A_t)$ 

Mandaglio Domenico and Tagarelli Andrea 2019 10 / 23

 $^2$ Wei Chen et al. "Combinatorial multi-armed bandit and its extension to probabilistically triggered arms." The Journal of Machine Learning Research 17.1 (2016)

#### Translating the DCCD problem into CMAB (I)

- each pair  $\langle \mathsf{v}_i, \mathsf{v}_j \rangle$  is a base arm and its semantics is assigning the nodes to the same community at a given time
- each pair  $\langle \mathsf{v}_i,\mathsf{v}_j\rangle$  is associated with an unknown distribution (with unknown mean  $\mu_{ii}$ ) for the probabilities of co-association over time (they may change), whose mean estimate is the entry  $m_{ii}$  in DCM
- A superarm  $A_t$  is a set of base arms which corresponds to a dynamic consensus community structure i.e., a set of pairs  $\langle \mathsf{v}_i,\mathsf{v}_j\rangle$  such that  $c_i^{(t)} = c_j^{(t)}$ j

#### Translating the DCCD problem into CMAB (II)

- Playing a superarm  $A_t$  corresponds to:
	- **1** inducing a community structure from the played superarm
	- <sup>2</sup> performing stochastic relocation of nodes to neighbor communities (trigger base arms)
- **•** the rewards associated to the entity pairs (base arms) are revealed after the relocation phase thus M can be updated
- $\bullet$   $R(A_t)$  corresponds to the quality of the solution after the relocation phase, e.g. modularity is a non-linear combination of rewards  $X^t_{ij}$

$$
R(A_t) = \frac{1}{d(\mathcal{V}_{[1..t]})} \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\ell=1}^t \beta^{t-\ell} \Big(A'_{ij} - \frac{k_i^{\ell} k_j^{\ell}}{d(\mathcal{V}_{[1..t]})}\Big) \delta(X_{ij}^t)
$$
  

$$
X_{ij}^t = 0 \text{ if } c_i^{(t)} \neq c_j^{(t)}, 1/|c_i^{(t)}| \text{ otherwise}
$$
  

$$
\delta(X_{ij}^t) = 1 \text{ if } X_{ij}^t > 0, 0 \text{ otherwise}
$$

### Overview of the framework



- updates: newEstimate ← oldEstimate +  $\alpha$ (newValue oldEstimate)
- exploitation/exploration: partition the DCM-graph/current snapshot

### Algorithmic scheme

Algorithm 1 General scheme of CMAB algorithm for Dynamic Consensus Community Detection

**Input:** Temporal graph sequence  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq T}$  ( $T \geq 1$ ), bandit strategy  $\mathcal{B}$ , (static) community detection method A.

**Output:** Dynamic consensus community structure  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq T}^*$ .

- 1: Initialize the dynamic consensus matrix M
- 2: for  $t = 1$  to  $\overline{T}$  do
- $3:$  if B decides for EXPLORATION then
- 4: Find a community structure  $\mathcal{C}^{(t)}$  on  $G_t$  using  $\mathcal A$
- 5: else {EXPLOITATION}
- 6: Partition the DCM-graph using  $\mathcal A$ <br>7: Infer a community structure  $\mathcal C^{(t)}$  c
- 7: Infer a community structure  $\mathcal{C}^{(t)}$  on  $G_t$  based on the DCM-graph partitioning
- 8: end if
- 9: Project the community memberships from  $\mathcal{C}^{(t)}$  onto  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq t}$
- 10: Stochastic optimization of  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq t}^*$
- $11: \quad$  Update the DCM matrix **M** based on  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq t}^*$
- 12: end for
- 13: return  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 7}^*$

# Instantiation of the algorithmic scheme (CreDENCE)

Algorithm 2 CMAB-based Dynamic ConsENsus Community DEtection (CreDENCE)

**Input:** Temporal graph sequence  $\mathcal{G}_{\leq T}$  ( $T \geq 1$ ), (static) community detection method A, bandit strategy B, learning rate  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , relocation bias  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , temporal smoothness  $\beta \in$  $(0, 1)$ , temporal window width  $\omega > 1$ .

**Output:** Dynamic consensus community structure  $\mathcal{C}_{\leq T}^*$ .

- 1:  $M \leftarrow I_{|\mathcal{V}_1| \times |\mathcal{V}_1|}$
- 2: for  $t = 1$  to  $\overline{T}$  do 3: if *B* decides for
- $\mathbf{B}$  decides for EXPLORATION then
	- $C^{(t)} \leftarrow \text{findCommunities}(G_t, \mathcal{A})$
- $rac{4}{5}$ : 5: **else**  $\{$ EXPLOITATION $\}$ 6:  $G_M \leftarrow \text{buildDCMG}$
- 6:  $G_M \leftarrow \text{buildDCMGraph}(\mathbf{M})$ <br>7:  $C_M \leftarrow \text{partitionDCMGraph}(\mathbf{M})$
- $C_{\mathsf{M}} \leftarrow$  partitionDCMGraph( $G_{\mathsf{M}}, \mathcal{A}$ )
- $C^{(t)} \leftarrow$  inferCommunities( $G_t, C_M$ )
- $\frac{8}{9}$ : end if

10: 
$$
\mathcal{C}_{\leq t}^* \leftarrow \textit{project}(\mathcal{C}^{(t)}, \mathcal{G}_{\leq t})
$$

11: 
$$
C_{\leq t}^{\overline{*}} \leftarrow \text{evalRelocations}(\mathcal{G}_{\leq t}, C_{\leq t}^*, \lambda, \beta, \omega)
$$

- 11:  $c^*_{\leq t} \leftarrow \textit{evalRelocations}( \mathcal{G}_{\leq t}, \mathcal{C}^*_{\leq t} ) \newline 12: \qquad \mathsf{M} \leftarrow \textit{updateDCM}(\mathsf{M}, \mathcal{C}^*_{\leq t}, \alpha)$
- $13<sub>1</sub>$  end for
- 14: return  $\mathcal{C}^*_{\leq 7}$

Mandaglio Domenico and Tagarelli Andrea 29th October 2019 15 / 23

{Modularity maximization}  ${Exponential moving average}$ 

#### Evaluation

Data:

 $\bullet$  5 real-world datasets and synthetic networks<sup>3</sup>

Evaluation goals:

- Impact of learning rate  $\alpha$
- **•** Efficiency evaluation
- Comparison with competing methods:
	- DynLouvain<sup>4</sup>
	- $\bullet$  M-FMCD<sup>\*5</sup>
	- $\bullet$  EvoAutoLeaders  $^6$

#### Experimental setting:  $\beta$  is epsilon-greedy and  $\mathcal A$  is Louvain algorithm.

<sup>3</sup> G. Rossetti. RDyn: graph benchmark handling community dynamics. Journal of Complex Networks, 2017. 4

J. He and D. Chen. A fast algorithm for community detection in temporal network. Physica A: Stat. Mech. Appl., 429:87–94, 2015

<sup>5&</sup>lt;br>D. Mandaglio, A. Amelio, and A. Tagarelli. *Consensus Community Detection in Multilayer Networks Using Parameter-Free Graph Pruning.* In Proc. PAKDD, pages 193–205, 2018.

<sup>6</sup> W. Gao, W. Luo, and C. Bu. Adapting the TopLeaders algorithm for dynamic social networks. The Journal of Supercomputing, 2017.

#### **Datasets**

Table: Main characteristics of our evaluation data. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation values refer to all snapshots in a network.





### Impact of learning rate (I)



(b) Facebook

Figure: Size of the dynamic consensus by CreDENCE (left), multilayer modularity of the CreDENCE solutions (mid), and NMI between the CreDENCE consensus community structure and the snapshot's community structure, at each  $t$  (right).

# Impact of learning rate (II)



Figure: Size of the dynamic consensus by CreDENCE (left), multilayer modularity of the CreDENCE solutions (mid), and NMI between the CreDENCE consensus community structure and the snapshot's community structure, at each  $t$  (right).

### Efficiency evaluation



Figure: Time performance on RDyn<sup>7</sup>synthetic networks.

Mandaglio Domenico and Tagarelli Andrea 20 / 23 and 29th October 2019 20 / 23

<sup>7&</sup>lt;br><sup>7</sup> G. Rossetti. *RDyn: graph benchmark handling community dynamics. J*ournal of Complex Networks, 2017.

### CreDENCE vs competing methods



Table: Increment percentages of CreDENCE w.r.t. DynLouvain<sup>8</sup>and M-EMCD<sup>\*9</sup>. Values correspond to the increment percentages averaged over all snapshots in a network, using the average best-performing  $\alpha$ .



Fig. 4: Competitors vs. CreDENCE on RDyn: modularity (top), NMI (bottom).

#### Conclusion & Future Works

Summary:

- CMAB paradigm for CD in temporal networks
- novel problem of dynamic consensus community detection
- **•** development of a fully defined algorithm for the DCCD problem
- deal with temporal networks that can have different structure and evolution rate

Future Works:

- $\bullet$  evaluate the impact of different bandit strategies (e.g., UCB, Thompson sampling)
- **•** learning the model parameters to best fit the community structure and evolution in a given temporal network

# Thank you for your attention. Questions?